CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, §§ 3161(h)(1)(A), 3161(h)(7) and Title 18 United States Code, § 3161 (h)(7)(A), when considering the facts under Title 18, United States Code, §§ 3161(h)(7)(B) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that the Objections to Magistrate Recommendation (CR #91) be extended one month from the presently set September 12, 2015 to and including October 13, 2015.

DATED 3rd day of September

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28